

Technical Construction File (TCF) for IoT Device

Requirements for Technical Construction File (TCF) for IoT Device

To create confidence on IoT Device, Manufacture shall maintain Technical Construction File having following information. Vendor need a provide information pertaining to the entire requirements mentioned below.

General

Sl.No	Requirements from Vendor	Details need to be provided
1.	General description of IoT Device, usage of IoT device and environment of use.	
2.	IoT Device Software & Hardware Bill of Material (As per Annexure 'A').	
3.	Risk Assessment of IoT Device including applicable system	
4.	Details of implementation of requirements mentioned in ISO/IEC 27402 IoT security and privacy — Device baseline requirements and OWASP Application Security Verification Standard 4.0 - Appendix C: Internet of Things Verification Requirements [#1 to #14 for Level 1, #1 to #24 for Level 2 & #1 to #34 for Level 3] (As per Annexure 'B')	

Certificates

SI.No	Requirements from Vendor	Details need to be provided
1.	Certificate for ISO 9001 (Scope should cover IoT Device Development, Manufacturing and Service (Manufacturer).	
2.	Certificate for ISO 9001 (Scope should cover IoT Device Supply of IoT Device (Supplier/ Distributor) if applicable.	
3.	Certificate of Incorporation (Manufacturer).	
4.	Certificate of Incorporation (Supplier).	
5.	Manufacturer authorization to supplier to place devices in Indian Market if applicable.	



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

Technical Construction File (TCF) for IoT Device

Annexure 'A'

<u>Software Bill of Material (Ref: https://cyclonedx.org/guides/sbom/introduction/#software-bill-of-</u> <u>materials-sbom) & https://www.ntia.gov/files/ntia/publications/sbom_minimum_elements_report.pdf</u>) Author Name—usually the organization that develops the software and country of origin.

Vendor Name—the name of the software vendor, including aliases (alternative names). Vendor and author may be different if a supplier is creating an SBOM on behalf of the vendor.

Component Name—the name and possible aliases of the software component.

Version String—the format of the version information is free-form, but should follow common industry usage.

Component Hash—the best way to identify a software component is to use a cryptographic hash that serves as a unique identifier.

Unique Identifier—in addition to the hash, each component must have an ID number that identifies it within the SBOM.

Relationship—defines the relationship between the component and the package. In most cases, the relationship is "included", meaning that a certain component is included in a certain package. **Time Stamp**-Record of the date and time of SBOM data assembly

Hardware Bill of Material (Ref: https://cyclonedx.github.io/cyclonedx-propertytaxonomy/cdx/device)

Manufacturer Name- The name of the hardware manufacturer & vendor and their country of origin Component Name—The name of the hardware component.

Device: quantity -The total number of the specified component.

Device:function- The purpose of the component (Bluetooth, network, storage, microprocessor, connector, etc).

Device:location- The location on the board or related daughter-boards where the device exists.

Device:deviceType- The type of component such as SMD, thru-hole, etc

Device:serialNumber- Unique identifier using serial number if available

Device:sku- Internal inventory reference if available

Device:lotNumber- Lot or batch identification for the component

Device:prodTimestamp- Production timestamp for the component

Device:macAddress- Hardware address for network interfaces

Note: In addition to these minimum requirements, an BOM can include additional information such as security scores, common vulnerabilities and exposure codes (CVEs) of known vulnerabilities.



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

Technical Construction File (TCF) for IoT Device

Annexure 'B'

Cl.No	Requirements as per 'ISO/IEC 27402 IoT security and	Status	5	
	privacy — Device baseline requirements'	Yes	No	Implementation details need to be provided
5.1	Requirements for IoT device policies and documentation			
5.1.1	Risk management			
5.1.1.1.1	IoT devices shall have documentation recording the results of a risk assessment process performed at the IoT device level in the context of a risk assessment at the system level.			
5.1.1.1.2	The risk assessment process shall take into account intended outcomes for the intended use case.			
5.1.1.1.3	The risk assessment process shall also take into account the needs and expectations of interested parties (e.g. those parties on networks to which the IoT device is connected), including physical and logical undesired effects.			
5.1.1.1.4	The risk assessment shall take into account that IoT devices can be constrained (e.g. limited battery, little memory, 'weak' CPU), which informs the risk treatment process.			
5.1.1.1.5	Risk assessment and treatment processes shall be defined and applied as follows:a) determine if separate risk assessment and			
	treatment processes are necessary for different products;			
	b) select appropriate risk treatment options, taking account of the risk assessment results;			
	 c) determine all controls that are necessary to implement the risk treatment option(s) chosen; d) identify all convity and privacy features of the lat. 			
	d) identify all security and privacy features of the IoT device from the controls identified in c) above;			
	e) compare the features identified in d) above with			

Page | 3



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

	those in 5.2, and verify that no necessary features	
	have been omitted;	
	f) produce a Statement of Applicability that contains	
	the necessary features [see steps d) and e)] and	
	justification for inclusions and the justification for	
	exclusions of features from 5.2;	
	g) if other standards related to device requirements	
	are used, implement the requirements of those	
	standards after steps a) through to f);	
	h) formulate a risk treatment plan;	
	i) inform the risk owner of the risk treatment plan and	
	any residual risks, or where applicable, obtain	
	their approval of the plan and acceptance of the	
	residual risks.	
5.1.1.1.6	IoT devices shall implement the features and controls	
	identified as necessary in its Statement of	
	Applicability, as well as features and controls	
	identified in 5.1.1.1.5, step g).	
5.1.1.1.7	The documentation shall be available for the	
	supported lifetime of the product.	
5.1.2	Information disclosure	
5.1.2.1.1	IoT devices shall have user documentation that lists	
	the features that the IoT device provides	
	to support controls for security and privacy, making it	
	clear if any of the IoT device requirements in 5.2 are	
	not included.	
5.1.2.1.2	Such information shall be publicly available for the	
	period of time the IoT device is supported.	
5.1.2.1.3	IoT devices shall be covered by a security support	
	policy and other supporting documentation wherein	
	users are made aware in advance of when security	
	updates will be discontinued.	
5.1.3	Vulnerability disclosure and handling processes	
5.1.3.1.1	IoT devices shall have documentation that defines the	
	vulnerability disclosure and handling processes that	
	will apply for the supported lifetime of the device.	



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

5.1.3.1.2	Vulnerability disclosure and handling processes shall		
0.1.0.1.1	include, at a minimum, a capability to receive reports		
	of potential vulnerabilities from the public.		
5.2	Requirements for IoT device capabilities and		
	operations		
5.2.1	General- This clause includes IoT device features to		
	be used with a risk assessment and treatment		
	process in accordance with 5.1.1.		
5.2.2	Configuration		
5.2.2.1.1	If the configuration settings of the IoT device can be		
	modified, only authorized entities shall be able to		
	modify the configuration settings of the IoT device.		
5.2.2.1.2	If IoT devices are capable of changing the		
	configuration of IoT and other devices, they shall only		
	be capable of making such changes when authorized.		
5.2.3	Software reset		
5.2.3.1.1	If IoT devices have the capability to be reset, that		
	process shall be secure.		
5.2.3.1.2	This capability shall only be executable by an		
	authorized entity.	 	
5.2.4	User data removal		
5.2.4.1.1	If the IoT device stores user data, it shall provide a		
	function for deleting appropriate user data stored on		
	the device in any type of memory.		
5.2.4.1.2	The function shall be restricted to authorized entities		
	only.		
5.2.5	Protection of data	 	
5.2.5.1.1	IoT devices shall be capable of protecting the data		
	they store and transmit from unauthorized access,		
F 2 F 4 2	modification and disclosure.		
5.2.5.1.2	This shall include configuration settings, identifying		
	data, user data, event logs and sensitive security		
Г 2 Г 4 2	parameters.		
5.2.5.1.3	IoT devices shall be capable of protecting their		
	software (including firmware) from unauthorized		



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

	access and modification.		
5.2.5.1.4	IoT devices shall use cryptography (e.g. encryption		
	with authentication, cryptographic		
	hashes, digital signature validation) to prevent the		
	confidentiality and integrity of data requiring		
	protection from being compromised.		
5.2.5.2	Additional recommendation		
5.2.5.2.1	General		
	When IoT devices are started up, they should check		
	the integrity and authenticity of the software and/or		
	firmware and enforce security controls. If the IoT		
	device fails these checks, it should:		
	 notify the user of any violation, 		
	 render itself inoperable, 		
	- operate in a fail-safe mode that provides security		
	protection, or		
	- initiate device recovery if recovery actions can be		
	performed with integrity.		
	Upon first installation or maintenance, IoT devices		
	should set themselves to secure default		
	configurations. User configuration options should		
	prevent users from choosing insecure configurations		
	or provide a warning.		
	If capable, IoT devices should have the ability to		
	provide compartmentalization.		
	IoT devices should use function modules to restrict		
	access to system resources, which should only be		
	granted to authorized entities.		
	Trusted computing bases (TCB) should be kept as		
	small as possible to minimize the surface that is		
	exposed to attackers and to reduce the probability		
	that a bug or feature can be used to circumvent		
	security protections.		
	Memory protection mechanisms such as memory safe		
	languages, stack canaries, address space layout		
	randomization (ASLR) and limited or no execute		
	permissions are recommended wherever applicable.		



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

5.2.5.2.2	Event logging		
5.2.5.2.2	If capable, IoT devices should record sufficient details		
	for each event to facilitate an authorized entity's		
	ability to identify anomalous events and meaningfully		
	analyse the associated data.		
5.2.5.2.3	Sensitive security parameters		
5.2.5.2.5	The outcome of the risk assessment in 5.1.1 should		
	help determine whether an IoT device may include		
	hard-coded or shared sensitive security parameters, if		
	such parameters are unique per device and not		
	universal.		
5.2.5.3	Additional information		
5.2.5.3.1	General		
	Hardware-based solutions such as built-in crypto		
	accelerators and dedicated hardware can enhance		
	the use of cryptographic modules and		
	cryptographic key protection capabilities to protect		
	the data in storage and transit to meet the		
	performance requirements. Physical		
	countermeasures can support resistance to side channel attacks. Such functions can include		
	hardware-based root of trust (RoT). RoT is a		
	foundational feature to provide platform integrity		
	and ensure a foundation to develop and support the		
	device's chain of trust. The root of trust is ideally		
	based on a hardware-validated boot process to		
	ensure the system can be started using code from		
	an immutable source. As such, RoT is essential to		
	enable platform attestation including for a verified		
	boot process. When used to protect secrets and		
	device correctness, hardware can support a		
	foundational root of trust upon which rich software		
	functionality can be implemented more securely		
	and safely.		
	Compartments are protected by hardware-enforced		
	boundaries to prevent a flaw or breach in one		
	software compartment from propagating to other		



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

	software compartments in the system. Compartmentalization introduces additional protection boundaries within the hardware and software stack to create additional layers of defence in depth. For example, a common technique is to use operating systems processes or independent virtual machines as compartments. Integrity checking and recovery modes may not be appropriate in safety critical applications where continuous operation is essential.	
5.2.5.3.2	Event logging Implementation of event logging, including editing of logs, depends on device storage capabilities. IoT devices can support remote logging.	
5.2.6	Interface access	
5.2.6.1.1	IoT devices shall have mechanisms to limit logical access to its interfaces to authorized entities only.	
5.2.6.1.2	IoT devices shall employ appropriate authentication and access control mechanisms.	
5.2.6.1.3	Security and privacy requirements shall be assessed when designing and implementing the functions of IoT devices regarding creation and use of identifiers.	
5.2.6.1.4	IoT devices shall ensure that common values for critical security parameters, such as global private keys or standard passwords, are replaced by values that are unique per device or explicitly defined by an appropriate external entity before they are put into operation.	
5.2.6.2	Additional recommendation(s) The IoT device should be capable of being logically identified. While identifiers can enable a host of cybersecurity controls (such as asset management, automatic device discovery, and software updates), creating or using persistent identifiers should be avoided unless such use is unavoidable. Where such uses arise, the existence of such identifiers should	



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

	be made clear to users.		
	Mechanisms to limit logical access (to authorized entities) should be applied to the following:		
	a) the ability to enable or disable, through software or hardware means, any interfaces (including local and network interfaces);		
	b) the ability to restrict access (e.g. through authentication) to all remote interfaces;		
	c) the ability to identify or block devices not supported by an IoT device when it is attempting to access interfaces.		
5.2.6.3	Additional information		
5.2.6.3.1	General Examples of user interfaces include administrative consoles, web pages, APIs or other externally-exposed IoT device interfaces. Injection, XML external entities, cross site scripting and insecure deserialization are examples of common attacks to remote interfaces. Hardware-based capabilities can harden interface access protection against privilege escalation and control-flow attacks.		
5.2.6.3.2	Identifiers IoT devices can use identifiers in order to operate within an IoT system. Examples of such identifiers include serial numbers, cryptographic keys, and certificates.		
5.2.7	Software and firmware updates		
5.2.7.1.1	If the IoT device supports software updates, updates shall be performed using a secure procedure.		
5.2.7.1.2	Updates shall only be initiated by authorized entities.		
5.2.7.1.3	Unexpected interruption of an update shall leave the IoT device in a state that minimizes potential for harm, taking into account the risks of the IoT device not functioning as expected.		



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

5.2.8	User Notifications		
	IoT devices to notify users about about a negative event or condition. Some IoT devices do not have capabilities to actively inform the user (e.g. write a message on the screen, emit a sound or light), but they can respond with a message when queried or accessed remotely. IoT devices that do not have capabilities to directly inform users can send notifications and alerts via a local hub. A user query can be as simple as trying to access the device with a browser, mobile application, or something more complex. Alternatively, IoT devices can send a message to an alarm, monitoring, or logging device within the IoT system.		

Cl.No	OWASP Application Security Verification Standard 4.0 -	Statu	s	
	Appendix C: Internet of Things Verification Requirements	Yes	No	Implementation details need to be provided
	Level 1/2/3			
C.1	Verify that application layer debugging interfaces such USB, UART, and other serial variants are disabled or protected by a complex password.			
C.2	Verify that cryptographic keys and certificates are unique to each individual device.			
C.3	Verify that memory protection controls such as ASLR and DEP are enabled by the embedded/IoT operating system, if applicable.			
C.4	Verify that on-chip debugging interfaces such as JTAG or SWD are disabled or that available protection mechanism is enabled and configured appropriately.			
C.5	Verify that trusted execution is implemented and enabled, if available on the device SoC or CPU.			
C.6	Verify that sensitive data, private keys and certificates are stored securely in a Secure Element, TPM, TEE			



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

Technical Construction File (TCF) for IoT Device

	(Trusted Execution Environment), or protected using			
	strong cryptography.			
C.7	Verify that the firmware apps protect data-in-transit			
	using transport layer security.			
C.8	Verify that the firmware apps validate the digital			
	signature of server connections.			
C.9	Verify that wireless communications are mutually			
	authenticated.			
C.10	Verify that wireless communications are sent over an			
	encrypted channel.			
C.11	Verify that any use of banned C functions are replaced			
	with the appropriate safe equivalent functions.			
C.12	Verify that each firmware maintains a software bill of			
	materials cataloging third-party components, versioning,			
	and published vulnerabilities.			
C.13	Verify all code including third-party binaries, libraries,			
	frameworks are reviewed for hardcoded credentials			
	(backdoors).			
C.14	Verify that the application and firmware components are			
	not susceptible to OS Command Injection by invoking			
	shell command wrappers, scripts, or that security			
	controls prevent OS Command Injection.			
	Level 2/3)	 -	
C.15	Verify that the firmware apps pin the digital signature to			
	a trusted server(s).			
C.16	Verify the presence of tamper resistance and/or tamper			
	detection features.			
C.17	Verify that any available Intellectual Property protection			
	technologies provided by the chip manufacturer are			
	enabled.			
C.18	Verify security controls are in place to hinder firmware			
	reverse engineering (e.g., removal of verbose debugging			
	symbols).			
C.19	Verify the device validates the boot image signature			
	before loading.			
C.20	Verify that the firmware update process is not vulnerable			

Page | 11



Document No. STQC/IoTSCS/F03, Issue No. 01

	to time-of-check vs time-of-use attacks.			
C.21	Verify the device uses code signing and validates			
	firmware upgrade files before installing.			
C.22	Verify that the device cannot be downgraded to old			
	versions (anti-rollback) of valid firmware.			
C.23	Verify usage of cryptographically secure pseudo-random			
	number generator on embedded device (e.g., using chip-			
	provided random number generators).			
C.24	Verify that firmware can perform automatic firmware			
	updates upon a predefined schedule.			
	Level 3		-	
C.25	Verify that the device wipes firmware and sensitive data			
	upon detection of tampering or receipt of invalid			
	message.			
C.26	Verify that only micro controllers that support disabling			
	debugging interfaces (e.g. JTAG, SWD) are used.			
C.27	Verify that only micro controllers that provide substantial			
	protection from de-capping and side channel attacks are			
	used.			
C.28	Verify that sensitive traces are not exposed to outer			
	layers of the printed circuit board.	_		
C.29	Verify that inter-chip communication is encrypted (e.g.			
	Main board to daughter board communication).	_		
C.30	Verify the device uses code signing and validates code			
	before execution.			
C.31	Verify that sensitive information maintained in memory is			
	overwritten with zeros as soon as it is no longer required.	_		
C.32	Verify that the firmware apps utilize kernel containers for			
	isolation between apps.			
C.33	Verify that secure compiler flags such as -fPIE, -fstack-			
	protector-all, -WI,-z,noexecstack, -WI,-z,noexecheap are			
	configured for firmware builds.			
C.34	Verify that micro controllers are configured with code			
	protection (if applicable).			